# Quantifiers

## Important Concepts

# Logical operator

An expression of PL that is either a quantifier or a truth-functional connective is a logical operator of PL.



In a sentence containing just one quantifier, the scope of a quantifier is the quantifier itself plus the expression enclosed in parentheses to the immediate right of the quantifier.

## **Bound variable**

A bound variable is any occurrence of a variable **x** in a formula **P** of PL that is within the scope of an **x**-quantifier.

E.g.,  $(\exists x)(Nx \& Cx)$ 

## Free variable

A free variable is any occurrence of a variable **x** in a formula **P** of PL that is not bound.

E.g., Nx & Cx

## Sentence of PL

A formula **P** of PL is a sentence of PL if and only if no occurrence of a variable in P is free.

In other words, " $(\exists x)(Nx \& Cx)$ " is a sentence of PL; "(Nx & Cx)" is not a sentence of PL.

#### Practice: TLB, p. 275 #2.



#### Translations

### Universal Affirmative

All \_\_\_\_\_ are \_\_\_\_.

- 1. Whales are mammals.
- 2. Any whale is a mammal.
- 3. A whale is a mammal.
- 4. Every whale is a mammal.

 $(\forall x)(Wx \supset Mx)$ 

## Universal Negative

No \_\_\_\_\_ are \_\_\_\_.

- Whales are not reptiles.
- 2. A whale is not a reptile.
- 3. Every whale is a non-reptile.

 $(\forall x)(Wx \supset \sim Rx)$ 

#### Some trees are poplars. (∃x)(Tx & Px)

# Existential Affirmative

Some \_\_\_\_\_ are \_\_\_\_.

Question: Why not  $(\exists x)(Tx \supset Px)$ ?

This reads: "There exists an x such that if x is a tree, then x is a poplar.

## Existential Negative

Some students are not Republicans.

Some \_\_\_\_\_ are not \_\_\_\_\_.

 $(\exists x)(Sx \& \sim Rx)$ 

## The Four Sentences of Categorical Logic

The universal affirmative (A): All F are G The universal negative (E): No F are G The particular affirmative (I): Some F are G The particular negative (O): Some F are not G The universal affirmative (A):  $(\forall \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{P} \supseteq \mathbf{Q})$ The universal negative (E):  $(\forall \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{P} \supseteq \sim \mathbf{Q})$ The particular affirmative (I):  $(\exists \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{P} \& \mathbf{Q})$ The particular negative (O):  $(\exists \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{P} \& \sim \mathbf{Q})$  The universal affirmative (A):  $(\forall x)(Fx \supseteq Gx)$ The universal negative (E):  $(\forall x)(Fx \supseteq \sim Gx)$ The particular affirmative (I):  $(\exists x)(Fx \& Gx)$ The particular negative (O):  $(\exists x)(Fx \& \sim Gx)$  Translating sentences with multiple adjectives

To accurately convey the meaning behind statements with multiple adjectives, use multiple predicate constants. "All friendly old cats purr"  $(\forall x)((Fx \& (Ox \& Cx)) \supset Px)$ "All old werewolves are gruesome"  $(\forall x)((Ox \& Wx) \supset Gx)$ 

# Symbolizing existence

How would you symbolize the following? "Nessie exists" Fn? Nope. Existence is not a predicate. The correct way to symbolize is:  $(\exists x)Nx$ "Santa Claus does not exist" is ~(∃x)Sx

## More examples

Translate the following: "Bats and rats are mammals"

#### $(\forall x)((Bx \vee Rx) \supset Mx)$

Translate the following: "Only teachers with certification were hired"

Paraphrase: For any x, if x was hired, then x was a teacher and x had a certification.

 $(\forall x)(Hx \supset (Tx \& Cx))$ 

Translate the following: "Any person who likes 'The Cable Guy' has a dark sense of humor"

Paraphrase: For all x, if x is a person and x likes "The Cable Guy", then x has a dark sense of humor.  $(\forall x)((Px \& Cx) \supset Dx)$ 

## Swapping quantifiers

"Everything is good" is logically equivalent to "There is nothing that is non-good." Hence, it can be symbolized in two ways:  $(\forall x) Gx$ or  $\sim (\exists x) \sim Gx$ 

#### Practice: TLB, p. 294 #1.



#### Homework! <u>Read</u> Chapter 7 (p. 276-94) of TLB!

