



# Translations



**Important Concepts**

# Relationships

Relational Predicates

## Background Concepts

DEF: A **monadic predicate** (a.k.a. a one-place predicate) is a predicate that says something about one thing;

e.g., “Joe is happy” has a monadic predicate, namely “\_\_\_\_\_ is happy.”

## Background Concepts

DEF: a **relational predicate** (a.k.a. a dyadic predicate or a two-place predicate) is a predicate that asserts a relationship between two or more things;

e.g., the predicate in “Sabrina is taller than Katia” is “\_\_\_ is taller than \_\_\_.”

## Background Concepts

This relational predicate would be symbolized using two constants (or variables):

Tsk

It is read as

“Sabrina is taller than Katia.”

Translate the  
following:  
“Jed knows  
everybody”

Paraphrase:

For all  $x$ , if  $x$  is a  
person, then Jed  
knows  $x$ .

$$(\forall x)(Px \supset K_j x)$$

Translate the  
following:  
“Fred knows  
somebody”

Paraphrase:

There exists an  $x$ ,  
such that  $x$  is a  
person and Fred  
knows  $x$ .

$(\exists x)(Px \ \& \ Kfx)$



Translate the  
following:  
“Somebody knows  
Fred”

Paraphrase:

There exists an  $x$ ,  
such that  $x$  is a  
person and  $x$  knows  
Fred.

$(\exists x)(Px \ \& \ Kxf)$

Paraphrase:

For all  $x$ , if  $x$  is a  
brontosaurus, then  $x$   
is taller than  
Courtney.

$(\forall x)(Bx \supset Txc)$

Translate the  
following:

“All brontosauri  
are taller than  
Courtney”

Translate the following:  
“Not all brontosauri are taller than Ashby”

Paraphrase:

It is not the case that for any  $x$ , if  $x$  is a brontosaurus, then  $x$  is taller than Ashby.

$$\sim(\forall x)(Bx \supset Txa)$$

Translate the  
following:  
“Baron likes every  
hamburger.”

Paraphrase:  
For every  $x$ , if  $x$  is  
a hamburger, then  
Baron likes  $x$ .

$(\forall x)(Hx \supset Lbx)$

Translate the following:  
“Patrick does not like every hamburger”

Paraphrase:

It is not the case that for every  $x$ , if  $x$  is a hamburger, then Patrick likes  $x$ .

$$\sim(\forall x)(Hx \supset Lpx)$$

Translate the  
following:  
“Toi does not like  
any hamburger”

Paraphrase:  
For any  $x$ , if  $x$  is a  
hamburger, then Toi  
does not like  $x$ .

$$(\forall x)(Hx \supset \sim Ltx)$$

Translate the  
following:  
“Everybody loves  
Raymond”

Paraphrase:  
For all  $x$ , if  $x$  is a  
person, then  $x$  loves  
Raymond.

$(\forall x) (Px \supset Lxr)$

Translate the  
following:  
“Not everybody  
likes García”

Paraphrase:

It is not the case  
that for all  $x$ , if  $x$   
is a person, then  $x$   
likes García.

$\sim(\forall x)(Px \supset Lxg)$



Translate the  
following:  
“García doesn’t  
know anybody”

Paraphrase:

It is not the case  
that there is an  $x$   
such that  $x$  is a  
person and García  
knows  $x$ .

$\sim(\exists x)(Px \ \& \ Kgx)$

or

$(\forall x)(Px \ \supset \ \sim Kgx)$

Translate the  
following:  
“García doesn’t  
know everybody”

Paraphrase:

It is not the case  
that for every  $x$ , if  
 $x$  is a person then  
García knows  $x$ .

$\sim(\forall x)(Px \supset Kgx)$

or

$(\exists x)(Px \ \& \ \sim Kgx)$

Translate the  
following:  
“Nobody knows  
García”

Paraphrase:

For all  $x$ , if  $x$  is a  
person, then it is  
not the case that  $x$   
knows García.

$(\forall x)(Px \supset \sim Kxg)$

or

$\sim(\exists x)(Px \ \& \ Kxg)$

Reflexive sentences

Translate the  
following:  
“Narcissus loves  
himself”

Let  $Lxy$  stand for “ $x$   
loves  $y$ ” and let  $n$   
stand for  
“Narcissus.” The  
sentences would be  
symbolized as:

$Lnn$





The following practice problems are taken from Herrick (2013; p. 511-12):

1. Pam is taller than Sue but Sue is older than Pam.
2. Archie Bunker does not like any liberal.
3. Archie Bunker does not like every liberal.
4. Wimpy, the hamburger man, respects himself.
5. Someone knows himself.
6. Everybody knows Bill Gates.
7. All elephants are larger than Nathan's pet mouse.
8. Lorraine likes any horse.
9. Somebody knows Katie.
10. Matt is a friend of Elliot's.
11. Sam dislikes somebody.
12. Sam dislikes everybody.

Overlapping quantifiers



If one quantifier appears immediately to the right of another quantifier, then the scope of the first quantifier is that quantifier itself plus the scope of the second quantifier, as in:

$$(\forall x)(\exists y)Txy$$

Translate the following:  
“Everything is caused by something.”

Paraphrase: For every  $x$ , there exists at least one  $y$  such that  $y$  is the cause of  $x$ .

$$(\forall x)(\exists y)Cyx$$

Translate the  
following:  
“Something causes  
everything.”

Paraphrase: There  
exists some  $y$ , such  
that for every  $x$  in  
the universe,  $y$  is  
the cause of  $x$ .

$$(\exists y)(\forall x)Cyx$$

Translate the  
following:  
“Everything causes  
something.”

Paraphrase: For all  
 $x$ , there exists some  
 $y$ , such that  $x$  is  
the cause of  $y$ .

$$(\forall x)(\exists y)Cxy$$

Universe of Discourse

DEF: the domain of a variable is the set of things the variable can take as values.

###

When we specify the universe of discourse for a sentence containing a variable, we are stating the domain of the variable; i.e., we are specifying what it ranges over.

###

IF: the domain of a variable is everything in the universe

THEN: we call this the **universal domain**.

ELSE: the domain is a set of things within the universe, and so we call this a **restricted domain**.

Translate the  
following:  
“All humans have  
moral rights.”

Paraphrase: For all  
 $x$ , if  $x$  is a human,  
then  $x$  has moral  
rights.

$$(\forall x)(Hx \supset Mx)$$



Translate the  
following:  
“All humans have  
moral rights.”

If we stipulate that  
the domain is  
restricted only to  
persons (i.e., the  
variable  $x$  ranges only  
over persons), then we  
can symbolize this  
sentence as:

$$(\forall x)Mx$$

Translate the following, where the universe of discourse is restricted to persons:  
“Someone knows someone.”

$$(\exists x)(\exists y)Kxy$$

You try it:

1. Everybody loves somebody.
2. Somebody loves everyone.



The following practice problems are taken from Herrick (2013; p. 518):

Universe of Discourse: Human Beings

1. There is a person who is universally respected.
2. There is a person who respects everybody.
3. Everybody respects someone or other.
4. Some people do not know anybody.
5. If everybody knows somebody, then somebody knows everybody.
6. If someone helps someone, then God is pleased.
7. Anyone who loves no one is to be pitied.
8. Someone is not known by anyone.